# Critical Phenomena in Velocity-Induced Perfect Fluid Collapse

Scott Noble $^{1,2}$ Matt Choptuik $^1$ 

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada <sup>2</sup>Center for Relativity, Dept. of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA

Supported by CIAR, NSERC, CFI/BCKDF

Critical Phenomena in Velocity-Induced Perfect Fluid Collapse - p.1/11

## Motivation

- J. Novak (2001):
  - "Ideal-gas" EOS:  $P = (\Gamma 1) \rho_{\circ} \epsilon$  ,  $\Gamma = 2$
  - Tuning star's init. vel.  $\rightarrow$  Type-II critical behavior;
  - $M_{BH} \propto |p-p^*|^\gamma$  with  $\gamma \simeq 0.52$
- Neilsen and Choptuik (2000), Brady et al. (2002)
  - Studied ultra-relativistic fluid collapse;
  - A limit of "ideal-gas" case where  $\rho \equiv (1+\epsilon)\,\rho_\circ \simeq \rho_\circ \epsilon$
  - $P = (\Gamma 1) \rho$ , only EOS to admit CSS soln's;
  - For  $\Gamma$  = 2,  $\gamma \simeq 0.95 \pm 0.02$
- Neilsen and Choptuik (2000)
  - For  $\Gamma = 1.4$ : Ideal-gas Type-II Sol'n. = Ultra-rel. Type-II Sol'n.

# **Motivation**

- J. Novak (2001):
  - "Ideal-gas" EOS:  $P = (\Gamma 1) \rho_{\circ} \epsilon$  ,  $\Gamma = 2$
  - Tuning star's init. vel.  $\rightarrow$  Type-II critical behavior;
  - $M_{BH} \propto |p-p^*|^{\gamma}$  with

$$\gamma \simeq 0.52$$
  $\checkmark$ 

- Neilsen and Choptuik (2000), Brady et al. (2002)
  - Studied ultra-relativistic fluid collapse;
  - A limit of "ideal-gas" case where  $\rho \equiv (1 + \epsilon) \rho_{\circ} \simeq \rho_{\circ} \epsilon$
  - $P = (\Gamma 1) \rho$ , only EOS to admit CSS soln's;

• For  $\Gamma=2$ ,  $\gamma\simeq 0.95\pm 0.02$  -

- Neilsen and Choptuik (2000)
  - For  $\Gamma = 1.4$ : Ideal-gas Type-II Sol'n. = Ultra-rel. Type-II Sol'n.





• Solve TOV Eq.'s  $(\dot{g}_{\mu\nu} = \dot{T}_{\mu\nu} = v = 0)$ 

- Neutron Star  $\approx$  Stiff ( $\Gamma = 2$ ) TOV Sol'n;
- EOS:  $P = (\Gamma 1) \rho_{\circ} \epsilon$

• Add in-going coordinate velocity:  $U(\tilde{r} = r/R_*) = \frac{u^r}{u^t} = p \frac{\tilde{r}}{2} \left[ \tilde{r}^2 - 3 \right]$ 



- Solve TOV Eq.'s  $(\dot{g}_{\mu\nu} = \dot{T}_{\mu\nu} = v = 0)$ 
  - Neutron Star  $\approx$  Stiff ( $\Gamma = 2$ ) TOV Sol'n;
  - EOS:  $P = (\Gamma 1) \rho_{\circ} \epsilon$

• Add in-going coordinate velocity:  $U(\tilde{r} = r/R_*) = \frac{u^r}{u^t} = p \frac{\tilde{r}}{2} \left[ \tilde{r}^2 - 3 \right]$ 

• Match to U = 0



- Solve TOV Eq.'s  $(\dot{g}_{\mu\nu} = \dot{T}_{\mu\nu} = v = 0)$ 
  - Neutron Star  $\approx$  Stiff ( $\Gamma = 2$ ) TOV Sol'n;
  - EOS:  $P = (\Gamma 1) \rho_{\circ} \epsilon$

• Add in-going coordinate velocity:  $U(\tilde{r} = r/R_*) = \frac{u^r}{u^t} = p \frac{\tilde{r}}{2} \left[ \tilde{r}^2 - 3 \right]$ 

• Match to U = 0

• Solve 
$$(\alpha' = ...)$$
 and  $(a' = ...)$   
and find  $v = aU/\alpha$ 

Critical Phenomena in Velocity-Induced Perfect Fluid Collapse - p.3/11



- Solve TOV Eq.'s  $(\dot{g}_{\mu\nu} = \dot{T}_{\mu\nu} = v = 0)$ 
  - Neutron Star  $\approx$  Stiff ( $\Gamma = 2$ ) TOV Sol'n;
  - EOS:  $P = (\Gamma 1) \rho_{\circ} \epsilon$
- Add in-going coordinate velocity:  $U(\tilde{r} = r/R_*) = \frac{u^r}{u^t} = p \frac{\tilde{r}}{2} \left[ \tilde{r}^2 - 3 \right]$
- Match to U = 0
- Solve ( $\alpha' = ...$ ) and (a' = ...) and find  $v = aU/\alpha$
- Tune to threshold of black hole formation

### **Initial Data : TOV Solution**



# **Initial Data : TOV + In-going Velocity**



# **CSS Solutions of Ideal-gas and Ultra-rel.**



 Comparison of dimensionless quantities:

• 
$$\omega \equiv 4\pi r^2 a^2 \rho$$

• 
$$a = \sqrt{g_{rr}}$$

• 
$$v = \frac{au^r}{\alpha u^t} =$$
 Eulerian Velocity  
( $u^{\mu} =$  Fluid's 4-velocity)

- Star parameters at t = 0:
  - $\rho_{\circ} (r=0) = 0.05$

• 
$$P = \rho_{\circ}^2$$
 ,  $\epsilon = P/\rho_{\circ}$ 

# Scaling of $T_{max}$ : Dependence on Fluid's Floor



•  $T_{\max} \equiv \text{Global Max.}(T^a{}_a)$ 

$\gamma$	$p^*$		
0.94272	0.46875367383		
0.94358	0.46875350285		
0.9469707	0.4687516089		

Floor used to prevent

 $v \ge 1$  ,  $P, \rho_{\circ} < 0$ 

No significant effect;

## Scaling of $T_{max}$ : Different "Families"



$\gamma$	$p^*$		
0.94272	0.46875367383		
0.94234392	0.42990315097		
0.918693	0.4482047429836		

- Suggests scaling is fairly independent of:
  - Functional form of perturbation;
  - Initial star configuration;

Test Type	$ ho_c$	Floor	$\Delta r$	U	$\gamma$	$p^*$
-	0.05	$2.5 \times 10^{-19}$	4h	$U_1$	0.94272	0.46875367383
Floor	0.05	$2.5 \times 10^{-17}$	4h	$U_1$	0.94358	0.46875350285
Floor	0.05	$2.5 \times 10^{-15}$	4h	$U_1$	0.9469707	0.4687516089
Family	0.05	$2.5 \times 10^{-19}$	4h	$U_2$	0.94234392	0.42990315097
Family	0.0531	$2.5 \times 10^{-19}$	4h	$U_1$	0.918693	0.4482047429836

 $\checkmark$  Our average :  $\gamma~=~0.94\pm0.01$ 

 $\blacksquare$  Brady et al. (2002) (averaged over diff. methods):  $\gamma=0.95\pm0.02$ 

#### Conclusion

- (Ideal-gas Type-II Sol'n.)  $\simeq$  (Ultra-rel. Type-II Sol'n.) for  $\Gamma = 2$
- $\gamma_{\rm ideal} \simeq \gamma_{\rm ultra-rel.}$
- Novak (2001) did not sufficiently tune toward  $p^*$



- NSERC = National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
- CIAR = Canadian Institute for Advance Research
- CFI = Canada Foundation for Innovation
- BCKDF = British Columbia Knowledge Development Fund

Back to Title page